

Appl. No. 10/773,950  
Amdt. dated October 19, 2004  
Reply to Office Action of August 23, 2004

PATENT

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 17-18 and 20-21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

Claims 16 and 19 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,657,227.

Claims 16 and 19 have been amended to more clearly recite "the substrate." The Section 112 rejections are believed to be overcome.

A terminal disclaimer is submitted herewith to overcome the rejection under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting.

In view of the foregoing, all claims now pending in this Application are believed to be in condition for allowance. The issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance at an early date is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 650-326-2400, extension 5252.

Respectfully submitted,

  
George B. F. Yee  
Reg. No. 37,478

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP  
Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111-3834  
Tel: 650-326-2400  
Fax: 415-576-0300  
GBFY:cmm  
60298904 v1